

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,346	01/26/2001	Daithi Larkin	13841.016US1	6036
21186	7590 . 01/25/2005		EXAM	INER
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			JEAN, FRANTZ B	
	LIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	9
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/771,346	LARKIN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Frantz B. Jean	2151	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rolly within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 (October 2004.		
		s action is non-final.		
'-	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under			
Dispositi	on of Claims		1	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	1	:	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.	•	
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	:	
٠/١ـــا	are cusposi to recursion and	or oloolor roquiromoni.	; ;	
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	· :	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi	n priority under 35 U.S.C. &	5 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, , ,		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		polication No.	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			
	application from the International Burea			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.	
		,		
Attachmen	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
as i linfor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	 5) Notice of Ir 	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/771,346

Art Unit: 2151

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 10/04/04. Claims 1-13 are pending in the application. Claims 3-13 have been added by the amendment filed on 10/04/04.

The drawings filed on 10/04/04 have been accepted by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan et al. ("Customer Network Management and Control of Broadband VPN Services," Proc. IFIP/IEEE International Symposium on Integrated Network Management, May 1997, pp. 301-314) in view of Poisson et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0033401).

As to claim 1, Chan teaches a system comprising:

- a service processing switch having a plurality of network resources allocatable to a plurality of subscribers (pg. 304, par. 2; pg. 302, par. 4; Chan discloses a CPN switch for accessing VPN services (network resources));
- a service provider management server operable to configure the plurality of network resources, said configuration including are allocation of a subset of the network resources to a subscribing enterprise . . . (pg. 304, par. 2-3; Chan discloses a VPN provider (service provider management server) that allocates a portion of available bandwidth (network resources) to each VPG (subscribing enterprise));
- a subscriber management server communicably coupled to the service provider management system and operable to further configure the subset of the network resources (Fig. 3; pg. 306, par. 2; Chan discloses a VPN controller (subscriber management server) which communicates with a provider (service provider management system), and allocates bandwidth (network resources) to a VPG); and
- a subscriber management client communicably coupled to the subscriber management server, said client operable to issue configuration requests to the subscriber management server (Fig. 3; pg. 306, par. 2; Chan discloses a VPG controller (subscriber management client) that interacts with the VPN controller (subscriber management server) to get additional bandwidth (configuration request) when needed).

Application/Contro-Aumber: 09/771,346

Art Unit: 2151

Chan fails to teach the limitation of the service provider management server communicably coupled to the service processing switch.

However, Poisson teaches the limitation of a service provider management server communicably coupled to the service processing switch (par. 0031; Poisson discloses an ISP coupled to an extranet switch for a private network).

It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Chan in view of Poisson so as to have communication between the provider and VPG via a switch. One would be motivated to do so to enable a remote user to access resources on different networks in the VPG.

As per claims 3-8, the combination Chan and Poisson implicitly and explicitly teach a plurality of network resources that includes a packet filter, firewall, network address translation module, a virtual router a VPN and multiple processing elements (see Chan's fig 3-8 and section 4 of Chan reference).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described it a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chan et al. ("Customer Network Management and Control of Broadband VPN Services," Proc. IFIP/IEEE International Symposium on Integrated Network Management, May 1997, pp. 301-314).

As to claim 2, Chan teaches a method comprising:

allocating by a service provider a subset of network processing resources to a subscribing enterprise (pg. 304, par. 2-3; Chan discloses that a VPN provider allocates a portion of available bandwidth to each VPG (subscribing enterprise));

receiving by a subscriber management system a configuration request related to the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

subset of network resources from a user within the subscribing enterprise (pg. 304, par. 3; Chan discloses that a VPN controller (subscriber management system) receives information on the need for more bandwidth in the VPG (subscribing enterprise));

forwarding the request to a service, provider management server (pg. 306, par. 2; Chan discloses that the VPN controller requests more bandwidth from the provider (service provider management system); and

processing the request by the service provider management system (pg. 307, par. 2; Chan discloses that the VPN controller arranges for the bandwidth with the provider (service provider management system).

As per claims 9-13, the combination Chan and Poisson inherently and explicitly teach a plurality of network resources that includes a packet

Application/Control-Number: 09/771,346

Art Unit: 2151

filter, firewall, network address translation module, a virtual router a VPN and multiple processing elements (see Chan's fig 3-8 and section 4 of Chan reference).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/04/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argued that Chan alone and in combination with Poisson fail to teach a service processing switch described in Chan is different from the one claimed by applicant because Chan service processing switch allocates resources on a customer's network and does not allocate resources to multiple customers. Examiner respectfully submits that the service processing switch provided by Chan provide the same function as the one provided by the claimed invention because by allocating resources on a customer's network, it enables subscribers to access a vast resources that are readily available while the network resources allocatable to the subscribers can be very limited. In other words it can be said that Chan's service processing switch is broader in functionality than the service processing of the claimed invention. Therefore, Chan implicitly teaches the above limitation (see Chan section 4 and figs 3-8).

Furthermore, regarding a virtual router and firewall, all those limitations are inherent in a VPN environment (see section 4 of Chan's reference). As per network address translation modules (see section 4 of Chan's reference).

Applicants are requested to review the prior art of record for further consideration.

Application/Control-Number: 09/771,346

Art Unit: 2151

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz B. Jean whose telephone number is 571-272-3937. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 M-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571 272 3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Controllandumber: 09/771,346

Art Unit: 2151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz B. Jean

FRANTZ B. JEAN